

A RECONSTRUCTION PROCEDURE FOR THERMOACOUSTIC TOMOGRAPHY IN THE CASE OF LIMITED BOUNDARY DATA

Dustin STEINHAUER

University of California, Los Angeles

Abstract. We derive an explicit method for reconstructing singularities of the initial data in a thermoacoustic tomography problem, in the case of variable sound speed and limited boundary data. In order to obtain this explicit formula we assume the metric induced by the sound speed does not have conjugate points inside the region to be observed.

1. RECONSTRUCTION OF THE WAVEFRONT SET GIVEN MEASUREMENTS ON A HYPERPLANE

Let Ω be a bounded region in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x_n < 0$ for $x \in \Omega$. Let H be the hyperplane $\{x_n = 0\}$. We study the Cauchy problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} Pu = u_{tt} - c^2(x)\Delta u &= 0 \\ u(x, 0) &= f(x) \\ u_t(x, 0) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where c is a smooth function which satisfies $\frac{1}{M} < c(x) < M$ for some number $M > 1$. This is the Cauchy problem commonly studied in mathematical investigations of thermoacoustic tomography and photoacoustic tomography (see [KuKy] for a survey of results in this area).

The differential operator P has symbol

$$(2) \quad p(x, \xi, \tau) = \tau^2 - c^2(x)|\xi|^2.$$

$c(x)$ induces a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by setting $g_{ij}(x) = c^{-2}(x)\delta_{ij}$. We assume $c(x)$ equals 1 outside Ω , so this metric equals the Euclidean metric outside Ω . Our goal is to reconstruct singularities of the initial data f given information about u on $H \times \mathbb{R}_t$. We therefore allow f to be any distribution in $\mathcal{E}'(\Omega)$.

The solution to (1) can be expressed as a sum of two Fourier integral operators applied to f , as in [Du]:

$$(3) \quad u = (E_+ + E_-)(f).$$

E_+ and E_- are of order $-\frac{1}{4}$ with nonvanishing principal symbols, and have canonical relations $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pm}$ which are, roughly speaking, the union of bicharacteristic strips. A bicharacteristic strip $\gamma(t) = (x(t), t, \xi(t), \tau)$ with initial condition (y, η) is a smooth curve in $T^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} d_t(x(t), \xi(t)) &= \frac{1}{2\tau}(\nabla_{\xi}p, -\nabla_x p) = (2c^2(x)\xi, -\nabla_x(c^2(x))|\xi|^2) \\ (x(0), \xi(0)) &= (y, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Since p is independent of t , τ is constant and is determined by the condition $p(\gamma) = 0$. Thus given any initial condition (y, η) there are two bicharacteristics with that initial

condition, which we will denote by $\gamma_{(y,\eta)}^+(t), \gamma_{(y,\eta)}^-(t)$ where $\tau > 0$ (resp. < 0) for γ^+ (resp. γ^-). We then have

$$(5) \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pm} = \{(y, \eta, x, t, \xi, \tau) \mid (x, t, \xi, \tau) = \gamma_{(y,\eta)}^{\pm}(t)\}.$$

Since $c(x) = 1$ outside Ω , each bicharacteristic intersects $H \times \mathbb{R}$ at most once for $t > 0$, and at most once for $t < 0$.

Our goal is to reconstruct singularities of f from knowledge of the solution u on a portion $\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. However, we first consider a local problem in which we assume u is known on $H \times \mathbb{R}$.

There exists $T > 0$ such that the eikonal equation

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} p(x, \nabla_x \phi, d_t \phi) &= |d_t \phi|^2 - c^2(x) |\nabla_x \phi|^2 = 0 \\ \phi(x, 0, \xi, \tau) &= \langle x, \xi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

has two smooth solutions $\phi_{\pm}$ on $\mathbb{R}_x^n \times [-T, T]_t$. We also have $\nabla_x \phi_{\pm} \neq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [-T, T]$, so since $\phi_{\pm}$ are homogeneous of degree 1 in ξ , we have for any $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ compact,

$$(7) \quad |\nabla_x \phi_{\pm}(x, t, \xi)| \geq C|\xi|$$

on $K \times [-T, T]$. In fact, since $c(x) = 1$ outside Ω , we can take C uniform on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [-T, T]$.

Define two Fourier integral operators $S_{\pm}$ of zeroth order by

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} (S_+ f)(x', t) &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^n} \iint e^{i(\phi_+(x', 0, t, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle)} a_+(x', 0, t, \eta) f(y) dy d\eta \\ (S_- f)(x', t) &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^n} \iint e^{i(\phi_-(x', 0, t, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle)} a_-(x', 0, t, \eta) f(y) dy d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

The amplitudes $a_{\pm} = a_{\pm}(x, t, \eta) \in S_{cl}^0$ are constructed by geometrical optics so that the function

$$(9) \quad u(x', t) := (S_+ + S_-)(f)(x', t)$$

is the solution to (1) restricted to H , modulo smoothing operators. This representation is valid for $|t| < T$. We have $a_+(x, 0, \eta) = a_-(x, 0, \eta) = 1$ and since they are constructed as solutions of transport equations, their principal symbols are nonvanishing.

S_+ and S_- have formal adjoints acting on $v \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(H \times [-T, T])$ given by

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} (S_+^* v)(y) &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^n} \iiint e^{i(\langle y, \eta \rangle - \phi_+(x', 0, t, \eta))} \overline{a_+(x', 0, t, \eta)} v(x', t) dx' dt d\eta \\ (S_-^* v)(y) &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^n} \iiint e^{i(\langle y, \eta \rangle - \phi_-(x', 0, t, \eta))} \overline{a_-(x', 0, t, \eta)} v(x', t) dx' dt d\eta \end{aligned}$$

and they extend to continuous maps $\mathcal{E}'(H \times [-T, T]) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The canonical relations of $S_{\pm}$, which we call $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pm}$, are defined when $|t| < T$ by taking a point $(y, \eta, x', 0, t, \xi, \tau) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pm}$ and projecting $(x', 0, t, \xi, \tau)$ onto $T^*(x', t)$, a single fiber in $T^*(H \times \mathbb{R})$ (see Figure 1). It is also possible to determine a point in $\mathcal{H}_{\pm}$ given a point $(x', t, \xi', \tau) \in T^*(H \times [-T, T]) \setminus 0$ as follows: there is a unique number ξ_n such that $p(x', 0, \xi', \xi_n, \tau) = 0$ and the bicharacteristic of p through $(x', 0, t, \xi', \xi_n, \tau)$

travels to the left (decreasing x_n) as t goes towards 0. Since Ω lies to the left of H , this is the only choice of ξ_n such that the resulting bicharacteristic might lie in Ω when $t = 0$. Continue this bicharacteristic through $(x', 0, t, \xi', \xi_n, \tau)$ back to $\{t = 0\}$ and if it arrives in Ω , project onto $T^*(\Omega)$ to obtain a point (y, η) . We can thus write

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{H}_\pm = \{(y, \eta, x', t, \xi', \tau) \mid (y, \eta, x', 0, t, \xi', \xi_n, \tau) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_\pm\}.$$

From the local representation in (9) we also have

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\pm &= \{(\nabla_\eta \phi_\pm(x', 0, t, \eta), \eta, x', t, \nabla_{(x', t)} \phi_\pm(x', 0, t, \eta)) \\ &\mid (x', t, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}_x^{n-1} \times [-T, T]_t \times \mathbb{R}_\eta^n\} \end{aligned}$$

We note the following consequence of the preceding:

Lemma 1.1. *Suppose*

$$(13) \quad \nabla_{(x', t)} \phi_\pm(x', 0, t, \eta) = \nabla_{(x', t)} \phi_\pm(x', 0, t, \zeta).$$

Then $\eta = \zeta$.

Proof. Let $\xi_n < 0$ be the unique number satisfying

$$p(x', 0, \nabla_{x'} \phi_\pm(x', 0, t, \eta), \xi_n, d_t \phi_\pm(x', 0, t, \eta)) = 0.$$

The existence and uniqueness of ξ_n means the condition in (13) determines a unique bicharacteristic strip γ whose projection from $T^*(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_t)$ into $\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_t$ passes through $(x', 0, t)$. Continue γ back to $\{t = 0\}$. The projection of $\gamma(0)$ from $T^*(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_t)$ into $T^*\mathbb{R}_x^n$ is uniquely determined, so (5) implies that $\eta = \zeta$. $\square$

A point $(y, \eta) \in T^*(\Omega)$ will be called *visible* if there exists (x', t, ξ', τ) such that either $(x', 0, t, \xi', \xi_n, \tau) \in \gamma_{(y, \eta)}^+$ or $(x', 0, t, \xi', \xi_n, \tau) \in \gamma_{(y, \eta)}^-$ (with ξ_n determined as above). If $|t| < T$, this is equivalent to having $(y, \eta, x', t, \xi', \tau) \in \mathcal{H}^+$ or $(y, \eta, x', t, \xi', \tau) \in \mathcal{H}^-$. For example, if $c \equiv 1$, (y, η) is visible if and only if $\eta_n \neq 0$.

Consider the effect of applying $S_+^* + S_-^*$ to u . We must analyze the operators $S_+^* S_+$ and $S_+^* S_-$. Neither operator is well-defined on $\mathcal{E}'(\Omega)$, so cutoffs will have to be applied. Once this problem is fixed, it will turn out that the first operator reconstructs certain visible singularities of f , while the second operator is smoothing.

In what follows, if f and g are distributions, we write $f \equiv g$ on $U \subset T^*(X) \setminus 0$ if for any pseudodifferential operator $A \in L^0$ whose essential support lies in U , we have $A(f - g) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$. Two such distributions are called *microlocally equivalent modulo $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ on U* .

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(H \times [-T, T])$ and let $V \subset H \times [-T, T]$ be an open set such that $\chi(x', t) = 1$ for all $(x', t) \in V$. Let $U_\pm \subset T^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be open cones in $T^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that if $(y, \eta) \in U_\pm$, the projection of the intersection of $\gamma_{(y, \eta)}^\pm$ with $T^*(H \times [-T, T])$ intersects $H \times [-T, T]$ in V . Then there exist pseudodifferential operators $R_\pm \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $(R_+ S_+^* + R_- S_-^*)(S_+ + S_-)(f) \equiv f$ on $U_+ \cup U_-$.*

Proof. To analyze $S_+^* \chi S_+$ we follow the arguments of [GrSj], chapter 10. We have, in the sense of distributions,

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} (S_+^* \chi S_+)(f)(z) &= \frac{1}{4(2\pi)^{2n}} \int e^{i(\langle z, \zeta \rangle - \phi_+(x', 0, t, \zeta) + \phi_+(x', 0, t, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle)} \\ &\quad \overline{a_+(x', 0, t, \zeta)} a_+(x', 0, t, \eta) \chi(x', t) f(y) dy d\eta dx' dt d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

The integral is well-defined in the sense of distributions because $\chi S_+ f \in \mathcal{E}'(H \times [-T, T])$, and $S_+^* : \mathcal{E}'(H \times [-T, T]) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}_z^n)$. (We could approximate a_+ by symbols in $S^{-\infty}$ to write $S_+^* \chi S_+$ as an absolutely convergent integral operator.)

We denote the phase by

$$(15) \quad \Phi = \langle z, \zeta \rangle - \phi_+(x', 0, t, \zeta) + \phi_+(x', 0, t, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle .$$

We break up the integral by inserting a cutoff $\psi\left(\frac{|\zeta - \eta|}{|\eta|}\right)$ and consider

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} (S_+^* \chi S_+)(f)(z) &= \frac{1}{4(2\pi)^{2n}} \int e^{i\Phi} \overline{a_+(x', 0, t, \zeta)} a_+(x', 0, t, \eta) \\ &\times \psi\left(\frac{|\zeta - \eta|}{|\eta|}\right) \chi(x', t) f(y) dy d\eta dx' dt d\zeta \\ &+ \frac{1}{4(2\pi)^{2n}} \int e^{i\Phi} \overline{a_+(x', 0, t, \zeta)} a_+(x', 0, t, \eta) \\ &\times \left(1 - \psi\left(\frac{|\zeta - \eta|}{|\eta|}\right)\right) \chi(x', t) f(y) dy d\eta dx' dt d\zeta . \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.1, Φ has no stationary points in the support of the amplitude of the second integral, so we only need to consider the first. Write $\eta = \lambda\omega$ where $\lambda = |\eta|$ and make the change of variables $\zeta = \lambda\sigma$. Then we are left with

$$(17) \quad \frac{\lambda^n}{4(2\pi)^{2n}} \int e^{i\lambda\Phi} \overline{a_+(x', 0, t, \lambda\sigma)} a_+(x', 0, t, \eta) \psi(|\sigma - \omega|) \chi(x', t) f(y) dy d\eta dx' dt d\sigma$$

where

$$(18) \quad \tilde{\Phi} = \langle z, \sigma \rangle - \phi_+(x', 0, t, \sigma) + \phi_+(x', 0, t, \omega) - \langle y, \omega \rangle .$$

We use the method of stationary phase on the (x', t, σ) -integrals. (The integrand is compactly supported in these variables.) By Lemma 1.1 we have the critical point $\sigma = \omega$, $z = \nabla_\sigma \phi_+(x', 0, t, \sigma)|_{\sigma=\omega}$. In a neighborhood of any point of $\mathcal{H}_+$, $\mathcal{H}_+$ is parametrized by the initial conditions (y, η) of bicharacteristics, so $\mathcal{H}_+$ is a smooth manifold of dimension $2n$. This implies that the critical point is non-degenerate (see [Tre], Proposition 8.1.2).

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} (S_+^* \chi S_+)(f)(z) &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^n} \iint e^{i\langle z - y, \eta \rangle} b(z, \eta) dy d\eta \\ &+ Kf(z) , \end{aligned}$$

where $K \in L^{-\infty}$. $b \in S_{1,0}^0$ and the principal symbol is

$$(20) \quad b_0(z, \eta) = |a_+(x', 0, t, \eta)|^2 \chi(x', t) |_{\nabla_\eta \phi_+(x', 0, t, \eta) = z} .$$

In light of (12), we can write

$$(21) \quad b_0(z, \eta) = |a_+(\pi \circ \mathcal{H}_+(z, \eta), \eta)|^2 \times (\chi \circ \pi \circ \mathcal{H}_+)(z, \eta)$$

where $\pi : T^*(H \times [-S, S]) \rightarrow H \times [-T, T]$ is the natural projection.

$b_0 \neq 0$ on U_+ , so there exists a pseudodifferential operator $R'_+ \in L^0(U_+)$ such that $(R'_+ S_+ \chi S_+)f \equiv f$ on U_+ .

By a similar procedure, we can also construct $R'_- \in L^0(U_-)$ such that $(R'_- S_- \chi S_-)f \equiv f$ on U_- .

We next examine the operator $S_+^* \chi S_-$. We have

$$(22) \quad (S_+^* \chi S_-)(f)(z) = \frac{1}{4(2\pi)^{2n}} \int e^{i(\langle z, \zeta \rangle - \phi_+(x', 0, t, \zeta) + \phi_-(x', 0, t, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle)} \overline{a_+(x', 0, t, \zeta)} a_-(x', 0, t, \eta) \chi(x', t) f(y) dy d\eta dx' dt d\zeta .$$

so the Schwartz kernel of $S_+^* \chi S_-$ is

$$(23) \quad K(z, y) = \int e^{i(\langle z, \zeta \rangle - \phi_+(x', t, \zeta) + \phi_-(x', t, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle)} A(x', t, \eta, \zeta) d\eta dx' dt d\zeta ,$$

where

$$(24) \quad A(x', t, \eta, \zeta) = \frac{1}{4(2\pi)^{2n}} \overline{a_+(x', 0, t, \zeta)} a_-(x', 0, t, \eta) \chi(x', t)$$

is of class $S_{1,0}^0$.

The derivative in t of the phase could vanish only if $d_t \phi_+ = d_t \phi_-$. But ϕ_+ and ϕ_- are distinct solutions of the eikonal equation, so their t derivatives have differing sign. In fact,

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} d_t \phi_+(x, t, \eta) &= c(x) |\nabla_x \phi_+(x, t, \eta)| \\ d_t \phi_-(x, t, \eta) &= -c(x) |\nabla_x \phi_-(x, t, \eta)| . \end{aligned}$$

Define a differential operator

$$(26) \quad L = \frac{1}{i(d_t \phi_-(x', 0, t, \eta) - d_t \phi_+(x', 0, t, \zeta))} d_t .$$

Then L fixes the exponential portion of the integral:

$$(27) \quad L \left(e^{i(\langle z, \zeta \rangle - \phi_+(x', t, \zeta) + \phi_-(x', t, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle)} \right) = e^{i(\langle z, \zeta \rangle - \phi_+(x', t, \zeta) + \phi_-(x', t, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle)} .$$

From (7) we have

$$(28) \quad \frac{1}{|d_t \phi_-(x', 0, t, \eta) - d_t \phi_+(x', 0, t, \zeta)|} \leq \frac{C}{|\eta| + |\zeta|} .$$

Since $d_{tt} \phi_{\pm}(x, t, \xi)$ is also homogeneous in ξ of degree 1, we have

$$(29) \quad \left| d_t \frac{1}{d_t \phi_-(x', 0, t, \eta) - d_t \phi_+(x', 0, t, \zeta)} \right| \leq \frac{C}{|\eta| + |\zeta|} .$$

After repeated integration by parts using the operator L^t , we get

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} K(z, y) &= \int e^{i(\langle z, \zeta \rangle - \phi_+(x', 0, t, \zeta) + \phi_-(x', 0, t, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle)} ((L^t)^k A(x', t, \eta, \zeta)) d\eta dx' dt d\zeta \\ &\leq \int e^{i(\langle z, \zeta \rangle - \phi_+(x', 0, t, \zeta) + \phi_-(x', 0, t, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle)} A_{-k}(x', t, \eta, \zeta) d\eta dx' dt d\zeta \end{aligned}$$

where $A_{-k} \in S_{1,0}^{-k}$. This can be achieved for any $k > 0$. Hence $K \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, and $S_+^* \chi S_- \in L^{-\infty}$. A similar argument also shows $S_-^* \chi S_+ \in L^{-\infty}$.

We now combine R'_+ and R'_- to form a full parametrix for $\chi(S_+ + S_-)$. Let $\theta_\pm(y, \eta) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_+ \cup U_-)$ be homogeneous functions of degree 0 forming a partition of unity on $U_\pm$. Let

$$(31) \quad R_\pm(x, D) = \theta_\pm(x, D) R'_\pm(x, D) .$$

Then by construction, on $U_+ \cap U_-$ we have

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} (R_+ S_+^* + R_- S_-^*)(S_+ + S_-)(f) &\equiv (\theta_+ R'_+ S_+^* S_+)(f) + \theta_- R'_- S_-^* S_-)(f) \\ &\equiv \theta_+ f + \theta_- f \\ &= f . \end{aligned}$$

□

If the metric g_{ij} has no conjugate points, then we can take $T = \infty$. As a result, for any visible singularity (y, η) we can choose V such that (y, η) lies in $U_+ \cup U_-$.

2. RECONSTRUCTION OF THE WAVEFRONT SET GIVEN MEASUREMENTS ON THE BOUNDARY

We now investigate the situation when the measurement surface Γ is not a hyperplane, but instead is a relatively open subset of $\partial\Omega$. For simplicity we assume that Ω is strictly convex, so that any bicharacteristic along which a singularity might travel intersects $\partial\Omega$ at most once for $t > 0$ and also at most once for $t < 0$. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be an open subset of $\partial\Omega$ compactly contained in Γ . We will attempt to reconstruct singularities that reach $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

Let $W_1, \dots, W_k$ be a partition of Γ such that we have boundary normal coordinates near each W_j . Then, as before we define $U_{j,\pm} \subset T^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be open cones in $T^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that if $(y, \eta) \in U_{j,\pm}$, the projection of the intersection of $\gamma_{(y,\eta)}^\pm$ with $T^*(\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ intersects $\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ in $W_j \times [-T, T]$. Let $\chi_j \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(T^*(\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}))$ be cutoff functions such that $\text{supp}(\chi_j) \subset W_j \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\sum_j \chi_j = 1$ on $\tilde{\Gamma} \times [-T, T]$. Our measurements correspond to knowing $u|_{\tilde{\Gamma} \times [-T, T]}$. Let

$$(33) \quad U = \bigcup_{j,\pm} U_{j,\pm} .$$

We call U the *visibility set*, and attempt to reconstruct the singularities of f on U .

Consider a single patch W_j and let (w', w_n) be boundary normal coordinates near W_j such that Ω corresponds to $w_n < 0$. Writing $\chi_j(S_+ + S_-)$ in those coordinates we obtain data in the same form as in (8):

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} u_j(w', t) &= \chi_j(S_{j,+} + S_{j,-})(f)(w', t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^n} \iint e^{i(\varphi_{j,+}(w', t, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle)} \tilde{a}_{j,+}(w', t, \eta) \chi_j(w', t) f(y) dy d\eta \\ &+ \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^n} \iint e^{i(\varphi_{j,-}(w', t, \eta) - \langle y, \eta \rangle)} \tilde{a}_{j,-}(w', t, \eta) \chi_j(w', t) f(y) dy d\eta , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_{j,\pm}(w', t, \eta) &= \phi_{\pm}(x, t, \eta) \\ \tilde{a}_{j,\pm}(w', t, \eta) &= a_{\pm}(x, t, \eta) \end{aligned}$$

on $W_j \times [-T, T]$.

We apply the operator

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} (S_{j,+}^* + S_{j,-}^*)(\cdot)(z) &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^n} \iiint e^{i(\langle z, \eta \rangle - \varphi_{j,+}(w', t, \eta))} \overline{\tilde{a}_{j,+}(w', t, \eta)}(\cdot) dw' dt d\eta \\ &+ \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^n} \iiint e^{i(\langle z, \eta \rangle - \varphi_{j,-}(w', t, \eta))} \overline{\tilde{a}_{j,-}(w', t, \eta)}(\cdot) dw' dt d\eta \end{aligned}$$

to u_j . As above, we obtain pseudodifferential operators of order 0, modulo smoothing operators, which are elliptic on $U_{j,+}$ and $U_{j,-}$, respectively. Hence there exist $R_{j,\pm} \in L_{1,0}^0$ elliptic such that

$$(37) \quad (R_{j,+}S_{j,+}^* + R_{j,-}S_{j,-}^*)\chi_j(S_{j,+} + S_{j,-})f \equiv f$$

on $U_{j,+} \cup U_{j,-}$.

Let $\theta_j = \theta_j(y, \eta) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ form a partition of unity on U with each θ_j supported on $U_{j,+} \cup U_{j,-}$. Then we have

$$(38) \quad \sum_j \theta_j(y, D_y)(R_{j,+}S_{j,+}^* + R_{j,-}S_{j,-}^*)\chi_j(S_{j,+} + S_{j,-})f \equiv f$$

on U .

In conclusion, we discuss a simple condition which guarantees that we can reconstruct the entire wavefront set of f . Suppose that for each $(y, \eta) \in T^*(\Omega)$, either $\gamma_{(y,\eta)}^+$ or $\gamma_{(y,\eta)}^-$ reaches $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in a time less than T . (Equivalently, we may say that either of the unit speed geodesics emanating from (y, η) or $(y, -\eta)$ exits Ω through $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in a time less than T .) Then every singularity of f is visible, i.e. $T^*(\Omega) \subset U$. As a result,

$$(39) \quad \sum_j \theta_j(y, D_y)(R_{j,+}S_{j,+}^* + R_{j,-}S_{j,-}^*)\chi_j$$

reconstructs f from $u|_{\tilde{\Gamma} \times [-T, T]}$. We summarize this discussion in the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\Omega)$ and let U be the visible set. Then with $\chi_j, S_{j,\pm}, R_{j,\pm}$ as above,*

$$(40) \quad \sum_j \theta_j(y, D_y)(R_{j,+}S_{j,+}^* + R_{j,-}S_{j,-}^*)\chi_j(S_{j,+} + S_{j,-})f \equiv f$$

on U .

Remark 2.2. *This work is part of the author's dissertation at UCLA. Recently a paper [StUhl] by P. Stefanov and G. Uhlmann was announced in which similar results were obtained. Our proofs differ from those in [StUhl].*

REFERENCES

- [AgKu] Agranovsky, M. & Kuchment, P. 2007 Uniqueness of reconstruction and an inversion procedure for thermoacoustic and photoacoustic tomography with variable sound speed. *Inverse Problems* 23, 2089-2102.
- [AgQu] Agranovsky, M. & Quinto, E. T. 1996 Injectivity sets for the Radon transform over circles and complete systems of radial functions. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 139, 383-414.
- [Bey] Beylkin, G. Imaging of discontinuities in the Inverse Scattering Problem, *G. J. Math Phys.* 26(1), January 1985.
- [Du] Duistermaat, J.J. *Fourier Integral Operators* (lecture notes). Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 1973.
- [GrSj] Grigis, A. & Sjostrand, J. *Microlocal Analysis for Differential Operators*, Cambridge UP, 1994.
- [Ho] Hormander, L. *Fourier Integral Operators I*. *Acta Math* 127 (1971) 79-183.
- [KuKy] Kuchment, P. & Kuyansky, L. Mathematics of Thermoacoustic Tomography, *European J. Appl. Math.*, v. 19, 2008, pp. 1-34.
- [Rak] Rakesh. (1988) A Linearised inverse problem for the wave equation, *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 13:5, 573 - 601.
- [StUhl] Stefanov, P. & Uhlmann, G. Thermoacoustic tomography with variable sound speed. To appear. http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/arxiv/pdf/0902/0902.1973v1.pdf.
- [Tay] Taylor, D. *Partial Differential Equations*, Vol I & II, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [Tre] Treves, F. *Introduction to Pseudodifferential Operators and Fourier Integral Operators*, Vol I & II, Plenum Press, 1980.
- [Xu] Xu, Y., Wang, L., Ambartsoumian, G. & Kuchment, P. 2004 Reconstructions in limited view thermoacoustic tomography. *Medical Physics*, 31(4), 724-733.

This figure "figure1.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/0905.2954v1>